

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
CENTRAL DIVISION

FRENZETTA WILSON and RONNIE
DICKERSON, on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

NO. 4:20-cv-00152-KGB

v.

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA,

Defendant.

**DECLARATION OF RANDALL K. PULLIAM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
AND MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, LITIGATION
COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS**

I, Randall K. Pulliam, declare and state as follows:

1. I am a partner with the law firm Carney, Bates and Pulliam, PLLC ("CBP"). My firm, along with co-counsel Bailey & Glasser, LLC ("Bailey Glasser") (collectively referred to with CBP as "Class Counsel"), represent Plaintiffs Frenzetta Wilson and Ronnie Dickerson ("Plaintiffs") and the proposed Settlement Class in this action (the "Action").

2. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' (i) Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and (ii) Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Litigation Costs, and Service Awards. Except where otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration based on active participation in all aspects of the prosecution and resolution of the Action. If called upon to testify, I could and would truthfully and competently testify as to all matters stated herein.

BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE

3. CBP's firm resume, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, details each CBP attorney's background, as well as provides a full listing of the cases CBP has been involved in.

4. To briefly highlight CBP's extensive experience in class action and complex litigation, CBP has served or is serving as co-lead counsel in the following cases:

- *Caldwell, et al. v. Freedom Mortgage Co.*, No. 3:19-cv-02193-N (N.D. Tex.) (Pay-to-Pay class action, settling for \$2,250,000 which represented 35% of the aggregate Pay-to-Pay fees collected from the settlement class and received final approval in December 2021);
- *Phillips, et al. c. Caliber Home Loans, Inc.*, 19-cv-02711-WMW-LIB (D. Minn.) (Pay-to-Pay class action settling for \$5,000,000, which represented 29.39% of the aggregate Pay-to-Pay fees collected from the settlement class and received final approval in March 2022);
- *Williams v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*, 4:11-cv-00749-KGB (E.D. Ark.) (resulting in a settlement of \$21.7 million with 7,635 individuals receiving 100% recovery plus six percent prejudgment interest while releasing no claims or rights (other than named plaintiffs));
- *Ebarle, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc.*, 3:15-cv-00258 (N.D. Cal.) (class action on behalf of customers of the identity theft protection service, resulting in a nationwide settlement of \$81 million);
- *Wayne Miner et al. v. Philip Morris USA Inc.*, Case No. 60CV-03-4661 (Pulaski Co. Cir. Ct.) (class action brought on behalf of Arkansas smokers over claims that the defendant misrepresented the safety of its "light" cigarette products, which

settled in 2016 for \$45 million);

- *Econo-Med Pharmacy, Inc. v. Roche Diagnostics Corporation*, 1:16-cv-00789-TWP-MPB (S.D. Ind.) (a Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) litigation resulting in a \$17 million settlement, which was granted final approval on September 21, 2017);
- *ARcare, Inc. v. Qiagen North America Holdings, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 43CV-17-46 (Lonoke Co. Cir. Ct.) (a TCPA litigation resulting in a \$15.5 million settlement, which was granted final approval on December 3, 2018);
- *In re: The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N.D. Ga.) (serving on Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee; a settlement, with a common fund of \$25 million and an additional fund of \$2.25 million for distribution to financial institutions whose claims were purportedly released by third-party sponsors, was granted final approval on September 22, 2017);
- *In re: Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 0:14-cmd-02522-PAM-JJK (D. Minn.) (serving as counsel for lead plaintiff Umpqua Bank; a settlement, valued at \$39.4 million, was granted final approval on May 12, 2016.).

5. In sum, CBP has extensive knowledge in class action litigation, including class litigation involving Pay-to-Pay fees, and is adequately capitalized and staffed, allowing CBP to dedicate the resources needed to vigorously pursue the claims of putative class members and to achieve the best possible result.

**THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, REASONABLE, AND ADEQUATE, AND
SHOULD BE FINALLY APPROVED.**

6. The Settlement in this Action creates a non-reversionary, cash settlement fund of \$800,000 (the “Settlement Fund”) for the benefit of Settlement Class Members.

7. Based on records obtained from Defendant, the sum of all challenged Convenience Fees collected from Settlement Class Members during the Class Period is \$3,680,483. Thus, the Settlement Fund of \$800,000 represents roughly 22% of that sum.

8. In addition to the monetary benefits, as a result of the Settlement, Settlement Class Members will receive credit repair. Specifically, SC will request that the credit bureaus delete SC's reporting of the trade lines associated with any accounts with SC, providing Settlement Class Members with additional, meaningful relief.

9. When measured against all the relevant standards for approval of class action settlements, Class Counsel believes the results achieved are excellent and the Settlement is in the best interests of the Settlement Class.

10. The Settlement was reached only after Class Counsel conducted an extensive factual investigation into the Defendant's alleged misconduct and thoroughly researched the law pertinent to Plaintiffs' and the Class's claims and the Defendant's defenses. In addition to Class Counsel's extensive investigative efforts, Class Counsel performed the following tasks: drafting, and filing the complaint; successfully opposing Defendant's motion to transfer; successfully opposing Defendant's motion to dismiss; researching, drafting, and filing a motion to remand; propounding discovery and reviewing the data and documents produced in response to discovery; responding to discovery propounded on Plaintiffs; drafting a mediation statement and participating in a full-day mediation session; engaging in contentious, arm's-length settlement negotiations; successfully negotiating the Settlement; drafting the Settlement Agreement along with corresponding documents; and communicating with opposing counsel and the Settlement Administrator concerning the issuance of Class Notice following the Court's Preliminary Approval

Order. The efforts undertaken by Class Counsel and Plaintiffs demonstrate they have fully, vigorously, zealously and adequately represented the Settlement Class.

11. The settlement negotiations in this Action were supervised by an independent mediator. Here, the assistance of a skilled and neutral mediator, Bruce Friedman, Esq., assisted the parties in identifying, exploring, and promoting a better understanding of the legal and factual issues involved on both sides. This, coupled with the parties' exchange of information and prior litigation and experience, allowed Class Counsel to adequately assess the strengths and weaknesses of Plaintiffs' case and balance the benefits of settlement against the risks of further litigation. Throughout the settlement discussions, Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant each vigorously advanced their respective claims and defenses. Accordingly, the terms and conditions of the Settlement were fairly negotiated and reflect a fully informed and fair compromise.

12. The Settlement enjoys the support of the Settlement Class. To date, no Settlement Class Member has objected to the Settlement or requested to be excluded. *See* Declaration of Jennifer M. Keough ("Keough Decl.") at ¶¶ 16, 18. And, while no governmental entity is a party to this litigation, notice was issued to the appropriate federal and state officials in accordance with the 28 U.S.C. § 1715 (*see* ECF No. 58), and to date, no governmental entity has raised an objection or concern about the Settlement. *See id.*

13. Further, the notice program approved by the Court has now been fully implemented. *See* Keough Decl. at ¶¶ 8-14. According to the Settlement Administrator, the notice reached an estimated 99.37% of Settlement Class Members, which is at the high end of the range established by Federal Judicial Center, "*Judge's Class Action Notice and Claims Process Checklist and Plain Language Guide*" (2010) (available at <https://www.fjc.gov/sites/default/files/2012/NotCheck.pdf>) (stating a notice reach of between 70-

95% is reasonable). *See* Keough Decl. at ¶ 10. Thus, the notice program satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c) and due process and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

14. Based upon the foregoing, Class Counsel believe that the Settlement is an excellent result for the Settlement Class and is appropriate for final approval.

**THE REQUESTS FOR A PERCENTAGE OF THE FUND AS ATTORNEYS' FEES,
LITIGATION COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS ARE REASONABLE
AND SHOULD BE APPROVED.**

15. In accord with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel are seeking an award of attorneys' fees of 30% of the Settlement Fund, or \$240,000.00.

16. A percentage of the Settlement Fund is an appropriate basis on which to award Class Counsel a fee in this common fund case. *See Huyer v. Buckley*, 849 F.3d 395, 399 (8th Cir. 2017) (noting that courts within the Eighth Circuit frequently award attorneys' fees on a percentage basis, typically between 25% and 36% of a common fund); *Petrovic v. Amoco Oil Co.*, 200 F.3d 1140, 1157 (8th Cir. 1999) ("It is well established in this circuit that a district court may use the 'percentage of the fund' methodology to evaluate attorney fees in a common-fund settlement"); *Cleveland v. Whirlpool Corp.*, No. 20-CV-1906 (WMW/JFD), 2022 WL 2256353, at *9 (D. Minn. June 23, 2022) ("A typical calculation of attorneys' fees in a class action involves the common-fund doctrine, which is based on a percentage of the common fund recovered.")

17. Class Counsel's fee request is supported by the significant relief obtained for the Settlement Class. Specifically, the Settlement provides for a common fund of \$800,000, representing 22% of all Convenience Fees paid by the Settlement Class during the Class Period that were allegedly improperly collected, as well as credit repair relief. And, because the

Settlement Agreement does not require a claims process, Settlement Class Members will automatically receive these benefits unless they opt out of the Settlement.

18. Moreover, the negotiated releases are specifically tailored to release only those claims related to Convenience Fees paid by Settlement Class Members. *See* Settlement Agreement at ¶ 1.32 and Section 10.

19. Class Counsel's fee request is further supported by the time and resources expended by Class Counsel, the complexity of the case, the skill and expertise needed to advance Plaintiffs' claims, and the risk undertaken in prosecuting this case. To date, CBP has expended a total of 465.15 hours in this litigation. This includes time for pre-filing investigation; drafting, and filing the complaint; researching and opposing Defendant's motion to transfer; researching and opposing Defendant's motion to dismiss; researching, drafting, and filing a motion to remand; propounding discovery and reviewing the data and documents produced in response to discovery; responding to discovery propounded on Plaintiffs; drafting a mediation statement; participating in a full-day mediation session; engaging in contentious, arm's-length settlement negotiations; successfully negotiating the Settlement; exchanging multiple drafts of the Settlement Agreement along with corresponding documents with opposing counsel before finalizing those documents; and overseeing administration of the Settlement following the Court's Preliminary Approval, including the dissemination of Class Notice.

20. Class Counsel's requested percentage is directly in line with fee awards approved in this district and the Eighth Circuit. *See Williams v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co.*, Case No. 4:11-cv-00749-KGB (E.D. Ark.) (slip opinion) (June 1, 2018) (awarding Carney Bates & Pulliam, PLLC fees of \$6.5 million, or 30% of the settlement amount of \$21.7 million); *Nelson v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, Civil Action Nos. 2:04CV0000171 WRW, 2:05CV000134 WRW, 2009

WL 2486888, at *2 (E.D. Ark. Aug. 12, 2009) (awarding attorneys’ fees of one-third of the settlement fund); *Phillips v. Caliber Home Loans, Inc.*, No. 19-CV-2711 (WMW/LIB), 2022 WL 832085, at *7 (D. Minn. Mar. 21, 2022) (awarding Class Counsel attorneys’ fees of 33.33% of the Settlement Fund); *Rawa v. Monsanto Co.*, 934 F.3d 862, 870 (8th Cir. 2019) (affirming a fee award of 28% of the common fund and noting “[t]he fee award in this case is in line with other awards in this circuit.”); *Huyer*, 849 F.3d at 399 (noting that courts within the Eighth Circuit frequently award attorneys’ fees between 25% and 36% of a common fund); *Caligiuri v. Symantec Corp.*, 855 F.3d 860, 865 (8th Cir. 2017) (affirming fee award of one-third of the gross settlement fund); *In re U.S. Bancorp Litig.*, 291 F.3d 1035, 1038 (8th Cir. 2002) (affirming fee award to class counsel of 36 percent of settlement fund).

21. “Courts generally allow plaintiffs’ counsel in a class action to be reimbursed for costs and expenses out of the settlement fund, so long as those costs and expenses are reasonable and relevant to the litigation.” *Cleveland*, 2022 WL 2256353, at *11; *Phillips*, 2022 WL 832085, at *7 (awarding reimbursement of litigation costs that included “filing fees, travel costs, mediation, photocopying, mail and telephone costs, and other incidental expenses related to the litigation.”).

22. CBP reasonably incurred litigation expenses of \$9,493.38, which are summarized in the table below:

Expense Category	Amount
Mediation Services	\$7,450.00
Fees Related to Obtaining Plaintiff’s Mortgage and Various Documents	\$196.70
Travel/Food for mediation	\$1,746.68
Copying Costs	\$100.00
Total	\$9,493.38

23. These expenses were reasonably incurred in furtherance of the investigation, prosecution, and settlement of the Action. As such, they are reasonable and should be approved.

24. Plaintiffs' request for service awards of \$5,000 each for serving as the Class Representatives is also in line with similar awards approved in the Eighth Circuit. *See Caligiuri*, 855 F.3d at 867 (stating "courts in this circuit regularly grant service awards of \$10,000 or greater" and affirming service awards of \$10,000 each); *Phillips*, 2022 WL 832085, at *7 (awarding service awards of \$5,000 for each of the six plaintiffs); *Khoday v. Symantec Corp.*, Case No. 11-cv-180 (JRT/TNL), 2016 WL 1637039, at *12 (D. Minn. April 4, 2016) (approving service awards to the named plaintiffs in the amount of \$10,000 each); *Zilhaver v. UnitedHealth Group, Inc.*, 646 F. Supp. 2d 1075, 1085 (D. Minn. 2009) (awarding service awards of \$15,000 each).

25. Moreover, the service awards are supported by the time and effort Plaintiffs invested in the prosecution and settlement of this Action, which included: (1) initial factual investigation, including collecting documents; (2) reviewing the Complaint and other case filings, including pleadings relating to Defendant's motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' motion to remand; (3) working with Class Counsel to review and respond to discovery; (4) keeping in regular contact with Class Counsel concerning case developments and discovery; (5) participating in the mediation process; and (6) reviewing and discussing the terms of the Settlement reached in this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 12th day of August, 2022.

By: /s/ Randall Pulliam

EXHIBIT 1

CARNEY
—
BATES &
—
PULLIAM

PLLC

www.cbplaw.com
519 W. 7th Street
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Table of Contents

The Firm’s Practice and Achievements	1
The Firm’s Attorneys	4
Allen Carney	4
Hank Bates	5
Randall K. Pulliam	7
Tiffany Wyatt Oldham	8
Lee Lowther	9
Jake Windley	9
Sam Jackson	10
Courtney Ross	10
William P. Creasman	10
Leadership Positions	11

The Firm's Practice and Achievements

Carney Bates & Pulliam, PLLC is a national law firm that represents clients in complex litigation ranging from false advertising or deceptive marketing to data breach and data security to securities fraud to environmental hazards. Carney Bates & Pulliam is recognized as one of the country's premiere firms in the areas of consumer protection class actions, securities fraud, environmental law and employment discrimination.

The attorneys at Carney Bates & Pulliam are uniquely qualified to prosecute consumer protection claims. CBP has represented the State of New Mexico in numerous lawsuits against some of the largest financial service companies in connection with their practice of deceptively marketing and implementing Payment Protection Plans. The firm has recovered over \$100 million for credit card holders in various actions against Bank of America, Capital One, Chase, Discover and HSBC. CBP served as co-lead counsel in *Williams v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*, 4:11-cv-00749-KGB (E.D. Ark.), which resulted in a settlement of \$21.7 million with 7,635 individuals receiving 100% recovery plus 6 percent prejudgment interest while releasing no claims or rights (other than named plaintiffs). The firm served as co-lead counsel in *Ebarle, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc.*, 3:15-cv-00258 (N.D. Cal.), a class action on behalf of customers of the identity theft protection service, arising from claims that LifeLock delivered false statements about its services and failed to alert customers on a timely basis of potential identity theft, that concluded with a nationwide settlement of \$81 million. The firm also served as counsel in *Wayne Miner et al. v. Philip Morris USA Inc.*, Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, Case No. 60CV-03-4661, a class action brought on behalf of Arkansas smokers over claims that the defendant misrepresented the safety of its "light" cigarette products, which settled for \$45 million. The firm currently serves as counsel to the State of New Mexico in *State of New Mexico v. JUUL Labs, Inc.*, County of Santa Fe First Judicial District Court, New Mexico, Case No. D-101-CV-2020-01033, related to JUUL's marketing and sale of e-cigarettes to teenagers and adolescents.

The firm has positioned itself at the forefront of data breach and data security litigation. Our attorneys were appointed to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N.D. Ga.), an MDL class action brought on behalf of injured financial institutions in the wake of a massive retailer data breach. A settlement, with a common fund of \$25 million and an additional fund of \$2.25 million for distribution to financial institutions whose claims were purportedly released by third-party sponsors, was granted final approval on September 22, 2017. We served as counsel for the lead plaintiff in *In re: Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 0:14-cmd-02522-PAM-JJK (D. Minn.), successfully representing Umpqua Bank and a class of financial institution plaintiffs over injuries suffered from one of the largest data breaches in history. A settlement, valued at \$39.4 million, was granted final approval by the Court on May 12, 2016. CBP also was appointed to the Financial Institution Plaintiff's Steering Committee in the multi-district litigation, *In re. Equifax, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:17-md-2800-TWT (N.D. Ga.). The litigation arises from the 2017 Equifax data breach, in which hundreds of millions of consumer records were stolen by third parties.

CBP served as co-lead counsel in three class actions against mobile application developers alleging surreptitious tracking of minors in violation of state laws. *Amanda Rushing, et al. v. The Walt Disney Company, et al.*, 3:17-cv-04419-JD (N.D. Cal.); *Amanda Rushing, et al. v. Viacom Inc., et al.*, 3:17-cv-04492-JD (N.D. Cal.); and *Michael McDonald, et al. v. Kiloo APS, et al.*, 3:17-cv-04344-JD (N.D. Cal.). These cases resolved in fifteen separate settlements with Disney, Viacom, Kiloo, Sybo and 11 advertising technology firms. In a New York Times article, Josh Golin, the executive director of Campaign for a Commercial-Free Childhood, said "This is going to be the biggest change to the

children’s app market that we’ve seen that gets at the business models . . . On thousands of apps, children will no longer be targeted with the most insidious and manipulative forms of marketing.”

Similarly, in *Daniel Matera, et al. v. Google, Inc.*, 5:15-cv-04062-LHK (N.D. Cal.), CBP served as co-lead counsel in a class action involving allegations of email interception and violation of state and federal anti-wiretapping laws. A settlement, requiring Google to stop using content derived from email transmissions for user profiling and targeted advertising, was granted final approval on February 9, 2018. In addition, our attorneys were appointed by the court as co-lead counsel in *Matthew Campbell, et al. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 4:13-cv-05996-PJH (N.D. Cal.), a class action involving allegations of email interception and violations of federal anti-wiretapping laws. Final approval of an injunctive relief settlement, securing disclosures and limitations on Facebook’s interception and use of private message content, was granted on August 18, 2017. We served as lead counsel in *Econo-Med Pharmacy, Inc. v. Roche Diagnostics Corporation*, 1:16-cv-00789-TWP-MPB (S.D. Ind.), representing a class of pharmacies in a Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) litigation resulting in a \$17 million settlement, which was granted final approval on September 21, 2017. Additionally, we served as lead counsel in *ARcare, Inc. v. Qiagen North America Holdings, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 43CV-17-46 (Judge Sandy Huckabee, Lonoke Co. Cir. Ct.), representing a class of pharmacies in a TCPA litigation resulting in a \$15.5 million settlement, which was granted final approval on December 3, 2018.

The firm currently serves as counsel to the State of New Mexico in *State of New Mexico v. Tiny Lab Productions, et al.*, Case No. 18-cv-00854-LF-KBM (D.N.M.), an action brought against child app developers and advertising networks for violations of the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501, *et seq.* (“COPPA”), and against Google, LLC for trafficking in privacy-invasive apps in its online store.

CBP’s reputation for excellence in accounting fraud and other complex class actions has been recognized on repeated occasions by federal and state court judges who have appointed the firm to serve as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous cases throughout the country. In this regard, the firm has successfully represented certain states throughout the country in matters involving securities litigation such as in *Mississippi Public Employees Retirement System v. Semtech* and *In re Sterling Financial Corporation Securities Class Action*, representing Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico and the New Mexico Educational Retirement Board.

The firm has been successful at protecting shareholders in “change-of-control” transactions seeking to maximize shareholder value. For example, the firm represented shareholders of Nationwide Financial in a going private transaction and was able to negotiate more than \$200 million for the public shareholders in the form of an increased share price. In a similar matter, attorneys at the firm represented shareholders of 7-Eleven and brokered an additional \$140 million in the sales price.

Additionally, our attorneys handling environmental litigation possess expert knowledge in issues related to groundwater and air pollution, toxic exposures, leaking pipelines and underground storage tanks, oil field contamination, and pesticides. The firm pursues claims against corporate polluters and governmental agencies on the state, local and federal level. For example, Carney Bates & Pulliam served as co-lead counsel on behalf of the Quapaw Tribe in a case that involved natural resources damages to tribe-related lands from lead and zinc mining, which resulted in an \$11.5 million settlement against Asarco, LLC, in addition to confidential settlements with four other mining companies.

In the employment context, Carney Bates & Pulliam served as co-lead counsel in *Nelson v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 04-00171 (E.D. Ark.), a nationwide race discrimination class action on behalf of African-

American truck drivers against Wal-Mart that provided \$17.5 million in recovery, as well as significant changes to Wal-Mart's hiring policies and four years of court supervision of the settlement terms.

In addition to its strong personnel, Carney Bates & Pulliam is well-capitalized, allowing it to dedicate considerable resources and to advance expenses on a contingency fee basis to the fullest extent necessary to achieve the best possible result for class members. As a result of its successful track record and strong capitalization, the firm enjoys a high level of respect and credibility with the defense bar and insurance carriers that often defend and insure corporations and their officers and directors.

As a firm, Carney Bates & Pulliam values practicing in a small environment where professional and personal interaction among the partners, associates, paralegals, accounting staff and other personnel allow for a true "team approach" to litigation strategy that fosters an energetic exchange of ideas. The firm believes its size allows for a greater degree of independence, flexibility and satisfaction than a large firm environment, without sacrificing the quality of representation necessary to achieve successful results for its clients.

The Firm's Attorneys

ALLEN CARNEY

Mr. Carney is a graduate of the University of Arkansas, earning a degree in Finance. Subsequently, Mr. Carney graduated from the University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Law.

Mr. Carney concentrates his practice on prosecuting complex litigation on behalf of investors, consumers and employees. He has extensive experience in nationwide cases, including appointment as lead counsel in dozens of securities and consumer class actions. He has successfully represented investors and consumers in cases that achieved cumulative recoveries in the hundreds of millions of dollars for plaintiffs.

Mr. Carney played a key role in litigating the various Payment Protection actions against the largest credit card issuers. These actions resulted in significant recoveries for injured consumers. See *Kardonick v. JPMorgan Chase*, S.D. Florida, \$20 million; *Esslinger v. HSBC Bank Nevada*, E.D. Pennsylvania, \$23.5 million; *In re Discover Credit Card Payment Protection*, N.D. Illinois, \$10.5 million; *In re Bank of America*, N.D. California, \$20 million; *Spinelli v. Capital One*; M.D. Florida; more than \$100 million.

Mr. Carney was lead counsel in Semtech Securities Litigation, a federal securities fraud class action that settled prior to trial achieving a significant recovery for investors. Additionally, he has served as lead counsel in numerous other federal securities fraud class actions, including *In re Lernout & Hauspie Securities Litigation*, No. 00-11589-PBS (D. Mass.) (\$115 million settlement); *In re NewPower Securities Litigation*, No. 2-CV-1550 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$41 million settlement); *In re DQE, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 01-1851 (W.D. Pa.); *In re Ashanti Goldfields Securities Litigation*, No. CV-00-9717 (DGT) (RML) (E.D.N.Y.); *In re Central Parking Corporation Securities Litigation*, No. 03-CV-0546 M.D. Tenn.); *In re Keyspan Securities Litigation*, No. CV-01-5852 (ARR) (MDG) (E.D.N.Y.); *Paul Ruble, et. al. v. Rural Metro Corp., et. al.*, No. CV-99-822-PHX-RGS (D. Ariz.).

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Carney was a partner with Jack, Lyon & Jones, P.A. in the Little Rock, Arkansas office, where he practiced extensively in the areas of complex commercial litigation, labor and employment litigation, and business transactions. He was involved in a number of high-profile cases, including the successful defense of Capital Cities/ABC News in an action brought by Tyson Foods regarding the secret videotaping of chicken processing plants. He was also a Contributing Author to "Arkansas Employment Law Letter," published by M. Lee Smith, 1995.

Mr. Carney is licensed to practice law in Arkansas state courts, the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Third and Eighth Circuits. Mr. Carney has argued before the Arkansas Supreme Court. Additionally, Mr. Carney has appeared in numerous federal and state courts across the nation via admission *pro hac vice*.

HANK BATES

Mr. Bates focuses his practice on representing individuals, small businesses, public interest groups and governmental entities in litigation to combat consumer fraud, protect data privacy, guard employee rights, clean up pollution and preserve the environment.

Mr. Bates has worked to protect consumers in a variety of settings. Recently, Mr. Bates successfully prosecuted *Williams, et al. v. State Farm*, 4:11-cv-00749-KGB (E.D. AR), alleging State Farm illegally took a portion of its insureds' settlements with third parties. After over six years of litigation, this case settled in June 2018, resulting in a \$21.7 million common fund with 7,630 Arkansans receiving 100% recovery of the improperly taken funds plus 6 percent interest without having to file a claim or sign any release. Mr. Bates also served as co-lead counsel in *Ebarle, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc.*, 3:15-cv-00258 (N.D. Cal.), a class action on behalf of customers of the identity theft protection service, arising from claims that LifeLock delivered false statements about its services and failed to alert customers on a timely basis of potential identity theft. A nationwide settlement of \$81 million was approved in September 2016.

Mr. Bates also served as counsel in *Wayne Miner et al. v. Philip Morris USA Inc.*, Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, Case No. 60CV-03-4661, a class action brought on behalf of Arkansas smokers over claims that the defendant misrepresented the safety of its "light" cigarette products, which settled in 2016 for \$45 million.

Mr. Bates is at the forefront of data privacy and data security litigation. In the data privacy context, he served as court-appointed class counsel in *Matera, et al. v. Google, Inc.*, 5:15-cv-04062-LHK, securing a class action settlement in 2018 requiring Google to stop using content derived from email transmissions for user profiling and targeted advertising, and also served as court-appointed class counsel in *Campbell, et al. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 4:13-cv-05996-PJH (N.D. Cal.), securing a settlement in 2017 requiring disclosures of and limitations on Facebook's interception and use of private message content. He also served as co-lead counsel in three class actions against mobile application developers alleging surreptitious tracking of minors in violation of state laws. *Amanda Rushing, et al. v. The Walt Disney Company, et al.*, 3:17-cv-04419-JD (N.D. Cal.); *Amanda Rushing, et al. v. Viacom Inc., et al.*, 3:17-cv-04492-JD (N.D. Cal.); and *Michael McDonald, et al. v. Kiloo APS, et al.*, 3:17-cv-04344-JD (N.D. Cal.). These cases resolved in fifteen separate settlements with Disney, Viacom, Kiloo, Sybo and 11 advertising technology firms. In a New York Times article, Josh Golin, the executive director of Campaign for a Commercial-Free Childhood, said "This is going to be the biggest change to the children's app market that we've seen that gets at the business models . . . On thousands of apps, children will no longer be targeted with the most insidious and manipulative forms of marketing."

Mr. Bates has been involved in litigation over some of the largest consumer data breaches in history, serving as counsel for the lead financial institution plaintiff in *In re: Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 0:14-cmd-02522-PAM-JJK (D. Minn.), which recovered \$39.4 million for the class of financial institutions, and serving on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N.D. Ga.), which settled for \$25 million for the class of financial institutions.

In the environmental context, Mr. Bates has represented numerous communities across America where neighboring industries polluted their air and tainted their groundwater. These cases have resulted in multi-million-dollar recoveries for the residents of these communities as well as agreements and court orders requiring remediation of contamination and compliance with the environmental laws in the future. For example, as co-lead counsel for the Quapaw Tribe of Oklahoma, Mr. Bates secured an \$11.5 million settlement from Asarco, LLC for spoiling the Tribe's historic reservation with lead and zinc mining waste, in addition to confidential settlements from four other mining companies. Mr. Bates has also

successfully represented numerous farmers in cases involving crop damage by defective pesticides. In addition, he represented conservation groups in California and Arkansas to protect our waterways from pollution, free-flowing stream from dams, and endangered species from loss of critical habitat.

Mr. Bates's employment litigation includes acting as court-appointed class counsel in a nationwide race discrimination class action on behalf of African-American truck drivers against Wal-Mart that provided \$17.5 million in recovery, significant changes to Wal-Mart's hiring policies and four years of court supervision of the settlement terms requiring improved hiring practices.

In his community, Mr. Bates has served as President of the Board of Directors for Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families, and on the Advisory Board for the Arkansas Journal of Social Change and Public Service. He served as an inaugural board member of the Arkansas Citizens First Congress and has been honored as Sierran of the Year by the Arkansas Chapter of the Sierra Club, Civil Rights Activist of the Year by the Arkansas Public Policy Panel, and has received the Angel Award from Treatment Homes, Inc., which provides training for therapeutic foster care parents.

Mr. Bates is active in the bar, currently serving as Arkansas State Coordinator for Public Justice. Previously he served as the Chairman of the Environmental Law Section of the Arkansas Bar Association and as Vice-Chairman of the American Bar Association's Committee on Pesticides, Chemical Regulation, and Right-to-Know.

Mr. Bates is listed in The Best Lawyers in America and as a "Super Lawyer" (among the top 5 percent of lawyers in Arkansas, Mississippi and Tennessee) by Mid-South Super Lawyers Magazine.

RANDALL K. PULLIAM

Mr. Pulliam graduated from the University of Central Arkansas with a Bachelor of Business Administration degree, where he was nominated for Outstanding Management Student in the university's School of Business. Mr. Pulliam later earned his Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Arkansas, with an emphasis in Finance. Mr. Pulliam earned his juris doctorate from the University of Arkansas at Little Rock (UALR) School of Law where he received multiple American Jurisprudence Awards.

Mr. Pulliam has been appointed lead counsel in dozens of successful class actions relating to consumer protection, including *Econo-Med Pharmacy, Inc. v. Roche Diagnostics Corporation*, 1:16-cv-00789-TWP-MPB (S.D. Ind.), representing a class of pharmacies in a Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) litigation resulting in a \$17 million settlement and *ARcare, Inc. v. Qiagen North America Holdings, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 43CV-17-46 (Judge Sandy Huckabee, Lonoke Co. Cir. Ct.), representing a class of pharmacies in a TCPA litigation resulting in a \$15.5 million settlement; Mr. Pulliam has been co-lead counsel in *Ebarle, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc.*, 3:15-cv-00258 (N.D. Cal.), a class action on behalf of Customers of the identity theft protection service settled for \$81 million; *Kardonick v. JPMorgan Chase & Co.*, S.D. Florida, \$20 million; *Esslinger v. HSBC Bank Nevada*, E.D. Pennsylvania, \$23.5 million; *In re Discover Credit Card Payment Protection*, N.D. Illinois, \$10.5 million; *In re Bank of America Credit Protection Marketing & Sales Practices Litig.*, N.D. California, \$20 million; *Spinelli v. Capital One*; M.D. Florida; more than \$100 million. Mr. Pulliam represented the State of New Mexico in a series of lawsuits that each favorably resolved asserting causes of actions for violations of the Dodd-Frank Act and state law against seven of the largest financial institutions in the world.

Mr. Pulliam has substantial experience in many areas of the securities industry, holding his Series 7 General Securities Representative license. Mr. Pulliam worked for Stephens, Inc. as an Equity Trader for four years, where he executed in excess of \$2 billion in securities transactions each year and participated in the firm’s underwriting and Initial Public Offering allocation decisions. Prior to working at Stephens, Mr. Pulliam worked as an investment banker for Crews and Associates, Inc., where he was responsible for buying municipal bonds for both individual and institutional investors.

Mr. Pulliam has also represented investors seeking financial recovery for losses suffered as a result of securities fraud, as well as in “change-of-control” transactions seeking to maximize shareholder value. Mr. Pulliam represented shareholders of Nationwide Financial in a going private transaction and was able to achieve more than \$200 million for the public shareholders. In a similar matter, Mr. Pulliam represented shareholders of 7-Eleven and helped negotiate an additional \$140 million in the sales price.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Pulliam had a successful law practice in a variety of legal areas, including commercial litigation, where he gained extensive courtroom experience, successfully trying several jury trials.

On the issues of securities fraud and fiduciary duty, Mr. Pulliam has been quoted in numerous publications, including the New York Times and the Dallas Morning News. Mr. Pulliam has also provided presentations about issues affecting institutional investors at conferences and to the boards of numerous public and union pension funds, including being a panelist on the 2005 Institutional Shareholder Services Annual Conference, The Fiduciary Responsibility to Claim Securities Class Action Settlements. Mr. Pulliam is a past chair of the Arkansas Bar Association Securities Law Section.

TIFFANY WYATT OLDHAM

Ms. Oldham graduated *cum laude* from the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville School of Law in 2001. She served as a member of the Board of Advocates and the W.B. Putman Inns of Court. In addition, Ms. Oldham served as President of Phi Delta Phi honors fraternity. During her law school career, Ms. Oldham participated in various trial competitions and moot court, where she was selected as a semi-finalist in the spring rounds. Ms. Oldham has a Bachelor's of Arts in English from the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville.

Ms. Oldham began her legal career with Carney Bates & Pulliam in 2002, and for nearly two decades now, she has focused her practice on securities and consumer fraud class actions.

Ms. Oldham has had a significant role in several of the firm's prominent cases, including: *Spinelli v. Capital One Bank*, No. 08-CV-132-T-33EAJ (M.D. Fla.); *In re Semtech Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. 07-cv-7114 (FMOx) (C.D. Cal.); *In re Fleming Companies, Inc. Securities and Derivative Litigation*, 5-030MD-1530 (TJW) (E.D. Tex.); *In re Keyspan Securities Litigation*, No. CV-01-5852 (ARR) (MDG) (E.D.N.Y.); *Freidman v. Rayovac Corporation*, No. 02-CV-0308 (W.D. WI); *In re IXL Enterprises, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 1:00-CV-2347-CC (N.D. Ga.); *Asher v. Baxter International, Inc.*, et. al., No. 02-CV-5608 (N.D. Ill). Having prosecuted numerous class actions through all stages of the litigation process, Ms. Oldham has experience with the full range of litigation issues confronting investors and consumers in complex litigation.

Working together with her colleagues at Carney Bates & Pulliam, Ms. Oldham's work has contributed to hundreds of millions in recoveries for investors and consumers. Specifically, Ms. Oldham has contributed to the litigation and settlement efforts in the following cases: *Ebarle, et al. v. Lifelock, Inc.*, Case No.3:15-cv-00258 (N.D. Cal) (consumer class action that resulted in a \$81 million settlement); *Econo-Med Pharmacy, Inc. v. Roche Diagnostics Corp.*, Case No. 1:16-cv-00789-TWP-MPB (S.D. Ind.) (TCPA class action that resulted in a \$17 million settlement); *ARcare, Inc. v. Qiagen North America Holdings, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 43CV-17-46 (Lonoke Co. Cir. Ct.) (TCPA class action that resulted in a \$15.5 million settlement); and *Williams v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co.*, Case No. 4:11-cv-00749-KGB (E.D. Ark.) (litigation involving insurance settlement practices that resulted in a common fund settlement of \$21.7 million).

Ms. Oldham has also worked on notable data breach and privacy cases, including the following: *In re Equifax, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:17-md-2800-TWT (N.D. Ga.); *In re: The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, Case No. 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N.D. Ga.); and *In re: Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, Case No. 0:14-cmd-02522-PAM-JJK (D. Minn.).

Immediately prior to joining Carney Bates & Pulliam, Ms. Oldham spent time overseas working for the Japanese municipal government in Okinawa, Japan. In addition, Ms. Oldham worked as an intern for the United States Bankruptcy Court, Western Division of Arkansas, where she assisted in researching bankruptcy issues and administering bankruptcy proceedings.

Ms. Oldham is licensed to practice in the Arkansas state courts and the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Third Circuit. She is currently a member of the American, Arkansas and Pulaski County Bar Associations. Ms. Oldham has experience in a multitude of legal fields including securities law, corporate law, business litigation, real estate transactions, and insurance regulation.

LEE LOWTHER

Mr. Lowther represents consumers in complex litigation who have fallen victim to the frauds, schemes, and abuses of unscrupulous actors. For several years before joining Carney Bates & Pulliam, Mr. Lowther had a wide-ranging defense practice at a respected firm in Little Rock, Arkansas. During his time as a defense lawyer, Mr. Lowther learned how to attack procedural and substantive weaknesses in plaintiffs' cases. This experience serves him well representing consumers, enabling him to spot and solve problems before they arise.

Since joining CBP, Mr. Lowther has been appointed class counsel in numerous contested class actions and settlement classes. He is currently counsel to two certified classes—*Torliatt v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC* (N.D. Cal.) and *Williams v. LoanCare, LLC* (S.D. Tex.)—that claim the defendants violated state debt-collection laws by collecting fees from borrowers who made their mortgage payments online or over the phone. Lee is class counsel in two other cases making similar allegations—*Caldwell v. Freedom Mortgage Corporation* (N.D. Tex.) (\$2.25 million settlement granted final approval) and *Phillips v. Caliber Home Loans, Inc.* (D. Minn.) (\$5,000,000 settlement granted preliminary approval and waiting on final approval). In addition, Mr. Lowther was class counsel for a nationwide class of borrowers who alleged Freedom Mortgage Corporation violated the terms of their mortgages by charging them unnecessary property-inspection fees—*Cole v. Freedom Mortgage Corporation* (Burl. County, NJ) (\$650,000 settlement granted final approval).

In addition to his mortgage practice, Mr. Lowther also has an active practice representing insureds and third-party claimants in class actions against insurance companies for underpaying what their totaled cars are worth. He was recently appointed class counsel for a settlement class where members recovered approximately 75% of the amount wrongfully withheld from their total-loss payments—*Zuern v. IDS Property Casualty Insurance Co.* (W.D. WA.) (\$1.75 million settlement granted final approval).

Mr. Lowther has also benefited from working in the courts. During law school, Mr. Lowther worked as an intern for the Honorable James M. Moody Sr., United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas. And after graduating, he clerked for the Honorable D.P. Marshall Jr., United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas. In these roles, Mr. Lowther had the benefit of working on cases during every stage of litigation, from the filing of the complaint through rulings on post-judgment motions. More importantly, Mr. Lowther observed firsthand how litigation strategies succeed and fail.

During law school, Mr. Lowther was chosen by faculty to join the National Trial Competition Team. He also competed in the 2012 Ben J. Altheimer Moot Court Competition, where he and his partner took the award for Best Respondent's Brief.

JAKE WINDLEY

Mr. Windley attended law school at UALR Bowen, where he graduated with high honors and served as the Executive Editor for the school's law review. During this time, he worked with the Arkansas Attorney General's Office in the Public Protection Division as the Janet Steiger Anti-Trust Fellow, a position sponsored by the American Bar Association. It was there that he developed his passion for consumer protection while working on anti-trust, healthcare, and consumer fraud cases. At Carney, Bates, & Pulliam, Mr. Windley has focused his attention to data privacy, debt relief, and consumer protection cases.

SAM JACKSON

Mr. Jackson joined Carney Bates & Pulliam in 2021 after developing a strong interest in protecting the public as a Deputy Prosecuting Attorney. As a Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Mr. Jackson gained invaluable litigation experience from his work evaluating cases, managing relationships with victims, negotiating cases with opposing counsel, and ultimately trying numerous cases in front of judges and juries. He gained the ability to quickly evaluate evidence and credibility and to identify weaknesses in case theory in a high-volume practice. Working to bring justice for victims of violent domestic and sexual abuse naturally led him to the firm, where his focus is on consumer protection and data privacy. Mr. Jackson was actively engaged in his educational communities both at Hendrix College (2008) and the University of Arkansas School of Law (2013). He remains engaged, volunteering his time for the tennis community in Little Rock as well as both the Arkansas and Southern Tennis Associations.

COURTNEY ROSS

Ms. Ross is the firm's newest associate. Prior to joining the firm, she was a judicial extern for United States Magistrate Judge Joe J. Volpe. She graduated *cum laude* from the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville School of Law, where she was chosen by faculty to be a member of the traveling young lawyers association's mock trial advocacy team. She also competed in many of the law schools' board of advocates competitions.

WILLIAM P. CREASMAN

For more than 32 of his 41 years of practice, Mr. Creasman has built and managed corporate legal departments and served as a chief legal officer or general counsel of a variety of companies, including Alltel Wireless, TCBY, and Wrangler Europe. Mr. Creasman has extensive domestic and international experience in corporate governance, securities, marketing, advertising, franchising, insurance, telecommunications, information technology, and employment law and has worked in the insurance, telecommunications, information technology, manufacturing, and foodservice industries.

He obtained his law degree from Wake Forest University and his undergraduate degree from The Johns Hopkins University. Over the years he has been an adjunct professor at the School of Law, University of Arkansas – Little Rock, a member of the Board of Directors of the Center for Arkansas Legal Services (a pro bono legal services corporation), and a Commercial Panelist for the American Arbitration Association. He is admitted to practice in Arkansas, North Carolina, and Texas.

Leadership Positions

Class Action, MLD and Complex Litigation Cases where the attorneys of Carney Bates & Pulliam have held a leadership position of Lead or Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel or as a member of the Executive Committee of Counsels:

In re AFC Enterprises, Inc. Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Case No. 1:03-cv-0817-TWT (\$15 million settlement).

Amanda Rushing, et al. v. The Walt Disney Company, et al., 3:17-cv-04419-JD; *Amanda Rushing, et al. v. Viacom Inc., et al.*, 3:17-cv-04492-JD; *Michael McDonald, et al. v. Killoo APS, et al.*, 3:17-cv-04344-JD United States District Court for Northern District of California (injunctive relief settlement in three consolidated actions requiring removal, disabling and limiting of tracking software that could be used to target children with ads across thousands of gaming apps)

Anderson, et al. v. Farmland Industries, Inc., United State District Court for the District of Kansas, Case No. 98-cv-2499-JWL (multi-party consolidated environmental litigation, Co-Lead Counsel; confidential settlement).

ARcare, Inc. v. Qiagen North America Holdings, Inc., et al., Circuit Court of Lonoke County, Arkansas, Case No. 43CV-17-46 (Lead Counsel, \$15.5 million settlement).

In re Ashanti Goldfields Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Case No. CV-00-0717 (DGT) (RML) (Co-Lead Counsel; \$15 million settlement).

Asher v. Baxter International, Inc., et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Case No. 02 C 5608 (Co-Lead Counsel).

In re Bank of America Credit Protection Marketing & Sales Practices Litig., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 11-md-2269-THE (\$20 million settlement; member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee).

Bland, et al. v. Petromark, Inc., et al., Circuit Court of Boone County, Arkansas, Case No. CV-2003-3-2 (multi-party consolidated environmental litigation, Co-Lead Counsel).

Caldwell, et al. v. Freedom Mortgage Co., No. 3:19-cv-02193-N (N.D. Tex.) (Co-Lead Counsel; \$2.25 million settlement).

Campbell, et al. v. Facebook, Inc., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 4:13-cv-05996-PJH (Co-Lead Counsel; injunctive relief settlement securing disclosures and limitations on Facebook's interception and use of private message content).

In re Central Parking Corporation Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Case No. 3:03-0546 (\$4.85 million settlement).

Daniel, et al. v. Ford Motor Company, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:11-02890 WBS EFB (Co-Lead Counsel).

Desert Orchid Partners, LLC v. Transaction Systems Architects, Inc., United States District Court for the District of Nebraska, Case No. 02-cv-553 (\$24.5 million settlement; Co-Lead Counsel).

In re Discover Credit Card Payment Protection Plan Marketing and Sales Practices Litig., United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Case No. MDL No. 2217 (\$10.5 million; Co-Lead Counsel).

In re DQE, Inc. Securities Litigation, United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 01-1851 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$12 million settlement).

In re Dynacq International, Inc. Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, No. H-02-0377 (Co-Lead Counsel).

Eastwood, et al. v. Southern Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co., United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, Case No. 11-3075 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$3.6 million settlement).

Ebarle, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:15-cv-00258 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$81 million settlement).

Econo-Med Pharmacy, Inc. v. Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Southern District of Indiana, Case No. 1:16-cv-00789-TWP-MPB (Lead Counsel, \$17 million settlement).

Esslinger v. HSBC Bank Nevada, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:10-cv-03213-BMS (\$23.5 million; Co-Lead Counsel).

In re Fleming Corporation Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Texarkana Division, No. 5-02-CV-178 (Co-Lead Counsel for 33 Act Claims; \$93.75 million settlement).

Friedman v Rayovac Corporation, et al., United States District Court of the Western District of Wisconsin, Case No. 02-0308 (\$4 million settlement).

Gaynor v. Thorne, et al., Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois County, Dept of Chancery, Case No. 07-CH-14381.

Garza v. J.D. Edwards & Co., United States District Court for the District of Colorado, Case No. 99-1744, (\$15 million settlement).

Hardin, et al. v. BASF, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Western Div., Consolidated No. 00-CV-00500 SWW (multi-party consolidated environmental litigation, Co-Lead Counsel; confidential settlement).

In re: The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Case No. 1:14-md-02583-TWT (Executive Committee; \$25 million settlement).

Jensen, et al. v Cablevision Systems Corporation, United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Case No. 2:15-cv-04188-LDW-ARL.

In re Keyspan Corporation Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Case No. 01-cv-5852 (ARR) (MDG).

Kardonick v. JPMorganChase, United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Case No. 1:10-cv-23235-WMH (\$20 million settlement; Co-Lead Counsel).

King, et al., v. Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation, District Court of Adams County, Colorado, Case No. 02-CV-2018 (Co-lead Counsel; \$2 million settlement of groundwater contamination case).

In re Lernout & Hauspie Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, No. 00-CV-11589-PBS (Co-Lead Counsel; \$115 million settlement).

In re Liberty Refund Anticipation Loan Litig., United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 1:12-cv-02949 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$5.3 million settlement).

Lynch v. JDN Realty Corp., et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Case No. 1:00-CV-2539 (settled for over \$40 million in cash and stock with 11% of the total settlement allocated to Mr. Bowman's clients).

Matera, et al. v. Google, Inc., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 5:15-cv-04062-LHK (Co-Lead Counsel; injunctive relief settlement requiring Google to stop using content derived from email transmissions for user profiling and targeted advertising).

Middlesex County Retirement System v. Semtech Corp. et al., United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 07-Civ-7183 (DC) (Co-Lead Counsel; \$20 million settlement).

Miner et al. v. Philip Morris USA Inc., Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, Case No. 60CV-03-4661 (\$45 million settlement).

Montalvo v. Tripos, Inc. et al., United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division, Case No. 4:03CV995SNL (Co-Lead Counsel; \$3,150,000 settlement).

In re Monterey Pasta Company Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:03 CV 00632 MJJ (Co-Lead Counsel).

In re National Golf Properties, Inc. Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division, Case No. 02-1383-GHK RZX; (\$4.175 million settlement).

In re Nationwide Financial Services Litigation, United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Case No. 08-CV-00249 (\$5.05 per share increase in offer price; \$232.8 million value).

Nelson, et al. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Case No. 04-CV-00171 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$17.5 million).

In re NewPower Holdings Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 01-cv-1550 (CLB) (Co-Lead Counsel; \$41 million settlement).

Pennsylvania Avenue Funds v. Gerard H. Brandi, et al., Common Wealth of Massachusetts Superior Court, Middlesex County, Case No. CV 08-1057.

Phillips, et al. v. Caliber Home Loans, Inc., 19-cv-02711-WMW-LIB (D. Minn.) (Co-Lead Counsel; \$5 million settlement).

Pierce v. Ryerson Inc. et al., Illinois Circuit Court, Cook County, Case No. 07 CH 21060.

City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System v. CBS Corp., United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 08-CV-10816 (LBS).

In re Phycor Shareholder Litigation, United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Nashville Division, Case No. 3-99-0807 (\$11.2 million cash settlement).

The Quapaw Tribe of Oklahoma v. Blue Tee Corp., United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Case No.03-cv-0846-CVE-PJC (\$11.5 million settlement in a case against Asarco, LLC).

Ruble, et. al. v. Rural Metro Corp., et. al., United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Case No. 99-cv-822-PHX-RGS.

Sheet Metal Workers Local 28 Pension Fund v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Case No. 07-81038-CIV-Hurley/Hopkins.

Simpson, et al., v. Koppers, et al., Pulaski County Circuit Court, Third Division, Case No. CV-00-1659 (multi-party consolidated environmental litigation, Co-Lead Counsel; confidential settlement).

Slatten v. Rayovac Corporation, et al., United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin, Case No. 02 C 0325 C (Co-Lead Counsel; \$4 million settlement).

Slone, et.al. v. Fifth Third, United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Case No. 03-cv-00211 (\$15 million settlement).

Smith v. Intuit, Inc., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 5:12-cv-00222 (\$6.55 million cash settlement).

Spinelli v. Capital One Bank (USA), et al., United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Case No. 8:08-cv-132-T-33EAJ (more than \$100 million settlement; Co-Lead Counsel).

State of New Mexico v. Discover Financial Services, Inc., et al., United States District Court for the District of New Mexico, Case No. 1:13-cv-00503 (\$2.15 million cash settlement).

State of New Mexico v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al., United States District Court for the District of New Mexico, Case No. 1:13-cv-00472 (\$2,146,750.00 million cash settlement).

In re Sterling Financial Corporation Securities Class Action, United States District Court of the Southern District of New York, Case No. CV 07-2171(Co-Lead Counsel; \$10.25 million settlement).

Stokes, et al. v. Government Employees Insurance Company d/b/a GEICO, et al., Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, Civil Division, Case No. 60CV-13-4282 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$517,206.30 settlement).

In re Supervalu, Inc. Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Case No. 02-CV-1738 (JEL/JGL) (Co-Lead Counsel; \$4 million settlement).

In re: Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, 0:14-cmd-02522-PAM-JJK (D. Minn.) (Counsel for Lead Plaintiff; \$39.4 million settlement).

Valuepoint Partners, Inc. v. ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Et al., United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 03-0989 (\$3,225,000 settlement).

In re Vision America Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Nashville Division, Case No. 3-00-0279 (\$5.9 million settlement).

White v. Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Western Div., Case No. LR-C-98-362 (multi-party consolidated environmental litigation, Co-Lead Counsel; confidential settlement).

Williams, et al. v. State Farm, Eastern District of Arkansas, Case No. 4:11-cv-00749-KGB (Co-Lead Counsel, \$21.7 million settlement).

Wise, et al. v. Arkansas Aluminum Alloys, Inc., et al., Miller County Circuit Court; Case No. CIV-2003-14-1(multi-party consolidated environmental litigation, Co-Lead Counsel; confidential settlement).

Wroten, et al. v. Shelter Mutual Ins. Co., Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, Civil Division, Case No. 60CV-14-517 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$1,773,453.56 settlement).

Wroten, et al. v. US Able Mutual Ins. Co., Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, Civil Division, Case No. 60CV-14-516 (Co-Lead Counsel; \$1,234,585.00 settlement).

Yvon DuPaul v. H. Edwin Trusheim, et al. (Rehabcare Group), Circuit Court of the County of St. Louis, Missouri, Case No. 02 CC 3039 (Lead Derivative Counsel).